Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,204	ECKBLAD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ingrid Wright	2835	
All Participants:	cipants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) Ms. Ingrid Wright, AU 2835.	(3)		
(2) Mr. Mark C. Van Ness, Reg. No. 39865.	(4)		
Date of Interview: 3 November 2005	Time: 3:00 PM.		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.		5	
Rejection(s) discussed:	$-i d \mu_{i}$		
Claims discussed: 1-27 Prior art documents discussed:			
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: To discuss how the case could be placed in condition for allowance. Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
LIBA LEA-EDMONDS' PRIMARY EXAMINER to Judens			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			